# Bundling Lecture 4

#### Dr. Martin Ewers

#### April 18, 2014



4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 少 9 0 0
1/28

## Table of contents

- 1. Definition
- 2. Welfare effects
- 2.1 Positive welfare effects
- 2.2 Negative welfare effects
- 3. Incentives to bundle
- 3.1 Decreasing own costs
- 3.2 Increasing own revenues
- 3.3 Increasing rivals' costs
- 3.4 Decreasing the rivals' revenues

# Section 1

Definition

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q (~ 3/28

# Definition of bundling

#### Definition (bundling)

Bundling is selling two products together.

Definition (pure bundling or tying)

Products are only sold together.

#### Definition (mixed bundling)

Products are sold together at a discount.

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 注 ト 4 注 ト 注 の Q (で 4 / 28

# Section 2

# Welfare effects

< □ > < □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の < ⊙ 5/28

Positive welfare effects – static efficiency		
Condition	(1) The firm that provides the bundle increases its sales. (2.) The production of the bundle's components is subject to economies of scale and/or economies of scope.	
Conclusion	Reduction of overall economic costs.	

Positive welfare effects – static efficiency		
Condition	The consumer's willingness to pay for the product bundle is bigger than his aggregate willingness to pay for the bundle's components.	
Conclusion	Bundling increases the consumer's utility.	

Negative welfare effects – static efficiency		
Condition	(1) Bundling occurs at retail level. (2) Horizontally integrated firm bundles a monopolistic product with a competitive product.	
Conclusion	Leverage of market power from a monopolistic market to a competitive market.	

Negative welfare effects – dynamic efficiency		
Condition	<ol> <li>Bundling occurs on a retail market. (2)</li> <li>The provider of the bundle has SMP on that retail market. (3) The bundle's components are not sold separately ('pure bundling').</li> </ol>	
Conclusion	Consumers have to pay for components of the bundle that they do not need.	

# Section 3

# Incentives to bundle

<ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の Q (~ 10/28

Decreasing own costs

#### Conditions

Economies of scope:

SAC(joint production of A and B)

- < SAC(stand-alone production of product A)
- + SAC(stand-alone production of product B)

Decreasing own costs

#### Examples

- 'luxury package' for a car that includes a bundle of, say, sun roof, and leather seats
- office equipment such as copiers, computers that is sold with a bundled service contract.

Increasing own revenues

#### Conditions

► Two products A and B are complements, i.e. the price of A (P<sub>A</sub>) determines sales of B and vice versa.

Increasing own revenues

#### Reference situation (no bundling)

Two Monopolies: Firm I produces product A, firm C produces product B.

Increasing own revenues

Reference situation			
	C sets low $P_B$	C sets high $P_B$	
I sets low $P_A$	demand for A: **** demand for B: ****	demand for A: ** demand for B: *	
I sets high $P_A$	demand for A: * demand for B: **	demand for A: *** demand for B: ***	

Increasing own revenues

#### Reference situation

	C sets low $P_B$		C sets high $P_B$	
I sets low $P_A$	<i>l</i> 's profit: <i>C</i> 's profit:	** **	<i>I</i> 's profit: <i>C</i> 's profit:	
I sets high P <sub>A</sub>	<i>I</i> 's profit: <i>C</i> 's profit:	*	<i>I</i> 's profit: <i>C</i> 's profit:	*** ***

Increasing own revenues

#### Reference situation: Two Nash-equilibria ('battle of sexes')

	C sets low $P_B$	C sets high $P_B$
I sets low $P_A$	Nash- equilibrium	
I sets high P <sub>A</sub>		Nash- equilibrium

Increasing own revenues

Bundling strategy

Alternative 1:

Firms I and C merge and the merged firm provides products A and B as a bundle.

Alternative 2:

Firms I drives firm C out of the market and provides A and B as bundle.

<ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の Q (~ 18/28

Increasing own revenues

#### Outcome

- I maximizes the overall profit from sales of A + B.
- ► I internalizes the spillover effect: If I decreases P<sub>A</sub>, it will profit from all additional sales of product B.
- Outcome depends on whether consumer's are willing to pay more for the bundled product than for its separate components (just one transaction required for the bundled product).

Increasing own revenues

#### Examples

- ► Ski lift passage included in the hotel price.
- Internet explorer included in operating system.

#### Conditions

- Product A is produced by monopolist *I* in a non-contestable market.
- Product B is produced by monopolist C in a nearly contestable market (potential competition).
- Product B is subject to economies of scale.

#### Bundling strategy

*I* enters product market B. *I* ties A and B together (i.e. sells them only as a bundle).

<ロ> < 部 > < 語 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の Q (\* 22 / 28)

#### Outcome

Potential impact on C: Diseconomies of scale

- $AC_C$ (stand-alone product B, with bundling by I)
- <  $AC_C$ (stand-alone product B, without bundling by I)

C might have to leave the market, depending on the quantity of B it looses to I.

#### Examples

- Hotel offers 'free' meals to its guests (i.e. effectively bundles meals and accommodation). Nearby hotels will loose guests.
- Microsoft sells MS Word, Excel, and Power Point as 'suite', making it hard for competitors to compete.

Decreasing the rivals' revenues

### Conditions

- ► Monopoly: Only firm *I* provides product A.
- ► Competition: Firms *I* and *C* provide product B.

26 / 28

# Incentives to bundle

Decreasing the rivals' revenues

### Bundling strategy (Barry Nalebuff)

 ${\it I}$  does not to offer B as a stand-alone product, but  ${\it I}$  offers A as a stand-alone product and the bundle A+B so that

 $R_I$ (stand-alone product A, with bundling by I)

- +  $R_I$  (bundle A + B, with bundling by I)
- >  $R_I$ (stand-alone product A, without bundling by I)
- +  $R_I$ (stand-alone product B, without bundling by I)

Decreasing the rivals' revenues

#### Outcome

Potential impact on C:

- $R_C$ (stand-alone product B, with bundling by I)
- <  $R_C$ (stand-alone product B, without bundling by I)

C might have to leave the market, depending on the quantity of B it looses to  $\emph{I}.$ 

Decreasing the rivals' revenues

#### Example

#### 'Season pass' for cinemas.

- A large cinema / with 10 screens offers a 'season pass'. For an up-front lump-sum payment, pass holders can go to all movies without having to pay extra.
- A season pass from the small cinema C with just one screen does not stand a chance.
- In this example: Product A = exclusive movie show by I. Product B = competing movie shows by I and C.