## CAPM: The theory Lecture 4

#### Dr. Martin Ewers

#### April 23, 2014



<ロ > < 回 > < 言 > < 言 > こ うへで 1/27

## Table of contents

- 1. Notation
- 2. Scope of the model
- 3. Mutual fund theorem
- 4. Equilibrium returns
- 5. Trade-off between risk and return

# Section 1

# Notation

<ロ> < 部> < き> < き> < き> き のへで 3/27

Notation	Scope of the model	Mutual fund theorem	Equilibrium returns	Trade-off between risk and return
Notati	on			

 $R_E$ random return on an efficient portfolio $\mu_E = \mathsf{E}[R_E]$ expected return on an efficient portfolio $R_M$ random return on market portfolio of risky assets $\mu_M = \mathsf{E}[R_M]$ expected return on market portfolio of risky assets $\sigma_E$ standard deviation of  $R_E$  $\sigma_M$ standard deviation of  $R_M$ 

# Section 2

## Scope of the model

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q (~ 5/27)

## Scope of the model

# Markowitz' portfolio theory describes behaviour of individual investors.

- Portfolio theory selects a portfolio, given the expected returns and covariances.
- Portfolio theory ('mean-variance analysis') is relevant for each investor, regardless of whether the CAPM is correct or not.

## Scope of the model

# CAPM is an equilibrium model specifying a relation between expected rates of return and covariances for all assets.

In capital market equilibrium, no investor wants to buy or to sell.

## Scope of the model

#### Definition of the problem

If everyone in the economy holds an efficient portfolio, how will securities be priced in equilibrium?

4 ロ ト 4 団 ト 4 臣 ト 4 臣 ト 臣 9 Q (で 8 / 27

Trade-off between risk and return

## Scope of the model

#### Contributors

William Sharpe of Stanford received the Nobel Prize in 1990 for his contribution, John Lintner of Harvard died before the prize was awarded.

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 2 今 Q (で) 9 / 27

## Scope of the model

#### Assumptions

- 1. No transaction costs.
- 2. Assets are all tradable and are all infinitely divisible.
- 3. No taxes.
- 4. No individual can effect security prices (perfect competition).
- 5. Investors care only about expected returns and variances.
- 6. Unlimited short sales and borrowing and lending.
- 7. Homogeneous expectations.

## Scope of the model

#### Assumptions

Assumptions 5 – 7 imply:

- ► All investors behave according to Markowitz' Portfolio Theory.
- ► All investors see the same efficient frontier.

# Section 3

## Mutual fund theorem

<ロト <回ト < 三ト < 三ト = 三 のへで 12 / 27

## Mutual fund theorem

#### Mutual fund theorem

- In capital market equilibrium, all investors hold the same portfolio of risky assets, the tangency portfolio.
- Therefore the tangency portfolio equals the market portfolio or risky assets.

## Mutual fund theorem

#### Definition ('market portfolio of risky assets')

A portfolio of all risky securities held in proportion to their market value. This must be the sum over all securities, i.e. stocks, bonds, real-estate, human capital, etc.

## Mutual fund theorem

#### Interpreting market equilibrium

- In market equilibrium, every investor must be content with their portfolio holdings, i.e. nobody wants to buy or to sell.
- Leverage differs by investor. In market equilibrium, borrowing and lending at the riskless rate has to level out.
- ► In market disequilibrium, prices of securities have to change.

# Section 4

## Equilibrium returns

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Definition (Capital Market Line)

The Capital Market Line provides the set of efficient combinations of the market porfolio of risky assets and the riskless asset in market equilibrium:

$$\mathsf{E}[R_E] = r_0 + \left(\frac{\mathsf{E}[R_M] - r_0}{\sigma_M}\right) \cdot \sigma_E$$

#### Definition (Security Market Line)

A linear relationship between the expecated value of an asset in market equilibrium and its beta:

$$\mu_i(\beta_i) = r_0 + \beta_i \cdot (\mu_M - r_0)$$

with

$$\beta_i = \frac{\mathrm{COV}(R_i, R_M)}{(\sigma_M)^2}$$

< □ > < @ > < 글 > < 글 > < 글 > 三 ○ Q (~ 18/27

sets

## Equilibrium returns

### Interpretation of the Security Market Line (SML)

 $(\mu_i - r_0)$  equilibrium risk premium on asset *i*  $(\mu_M - r_0)$  equilibrium risk premium on market porfolio of risky as-

> <ロ > < 部 > < き > < き > き = う Q (~ 19/27

#### Interpretation of the Security Market Line (SML)

In case of disequilibrium of capital market:

$$\mu_i > r_0 + \beta_i \cdot (\mu_M - r_0)$$
: asset *i* is undervalued  
 $\mu_i < r_0 + \beta_i \cdot (\mu_M - r_0)$ : asset *i* is overvalued

#### Interpretation of the Security Market Line (SML)

▶ Riskless asset: Beta is zero.

- ▶ Return not correlated with return on market porfolio.
- Return has to equal the riskless interest rate.
- ► Market porfolio of risky assets: Beta is one.

# Section 5

## Trade-off between risk and return

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Definition (Sharpe Ratio)

The Sharpe Ratio is the relation of the risk premimum and the risk:

$$S_i = \frac{\mu_i - r_0}{\sigma_i}$$

(ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 2 今 Q C
 23 / 27

# The Sharpe Ratio of the market porfolio of risky assets is the slope of the Capital Market Line.

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu_M - r_0}{\sigma_M}$$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 注 ト 4 注 ト 注 の 4 で
24 / 27

The Sharpe Ratio of the market porfolio of risky assets ( $\lambda$ ) can be interpreted as the equilibrium return/risk trade-off.

- All market participants are holding the market portfolio, which is also the tangency portfolio.
- The tangency portfolio portfolio has by definition the highest Sharpe Ratio of all portfolios.

26 / 27

## Trade-off between risk and return

#### Comparison of equilibrium risk premimums

Conversion of SML:

$$\mu_i - r_0 = \frac{\text{COV}(R_i, R_M)}{\text{VAR}(R_M)} \cdot (\mu_M - r_0)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\mu_1 - r_0}{\text{COV}(R_1, R_M)} = \dots = \frac{\mu_m - r_0}{\text{COV}(R_m, R_M)}$$

#### Risk of market porfolio of risky assets

$$\sigma_M^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i \cdot x_j \cdot \text{COV}(R_i, R_j)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \text{COV}(R_i, R_j) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \text{COV}[R_i, R_M]$$

<ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の Q (\* 27 / 27