Single Factor Models Lecture 6

Dr. Martin Ewers

April 23, 2014



<ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の Q (~ 1/33

イロト イロト イヨト

3

2/33

Table of contents

- 1. Assumptions
- 2. Return of distinct assets
- 3. Return of portfolio
- 4. SIM as a special SFM
- 4.1 Model outline
- 4.2 Comparison with CAPM

Section 1

Assumptions

3 / 33

Assumption on the return R_i of every asset i

$$R_i = a_i + b_i \cdot F + \varepsilon_i$$

with

- F factor determining return that is common to multiple assets
- ε_i idiosyncratic component of return
- a_i component of return which is independent from F
- b_i factor sensitivity

5/33

Assumptions

Further assumptions

- ► $E[\varepsilon_i] = 0$
- constant variance $VAR(\varepsilon_{it}) = VAR(\varepsilon_i)$
- $COV(\varepsilon_i, F) = 0$
- $COV(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$

Interpretation of shocks

Deviations from the expected return on asset i ($R_i \neq E[R_i]$) are explained by:

- 1. a common shock, that is an unexpected movement in the common factor $(F \neq E[F])$
- 2. an idiosyncratic (or asset-specific) shock ($\varepsilon_i \neq 0$)

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 のへ() 6/33

Interpretation of $COV(\varepsilon_i, F) = 0$

- idiosyncratic shock is uncorrelated with common shock
- otherwise common shock would explain part of idiosyncratic shock
- one could not call it idiosyncratic anymore

Interpretation of $COV(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$

 $COV(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$ is what constitutes idiosyncratic risk.

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 の Q (C 8/33

Section 2

Return of distinct assets

<ロ> < 団> < 団> < 三> < 三> < 三> < 三 > < 三 > のへ() 9/33

Return of distinct assets

Expected return of asset

$$\mu_i = \mathsf{E}[R_i] = \mathsf{E}[a_i + b_i \cdot F + \varepsilon_i] = a_i + b_i \cdot \mathsf{E}[F]$$

<ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > うへで 10/33

11/33

Return of portfolio

Linear transformation of covariance / variance - Background

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{COV}(R_i, R_j) \\ &= & \mathsf{COV}(a_i + b_i \cdot F + \varepsilon_i, a_j + b_j \cdot F + \varepsilon_j) \\ &= & \mathsf{E}\left[(a_i + b_i \cdot F + \varepsilon_i - \mathsf{E}[a_i + b_i \cdot F]) \cdot (a_j + b_j \cdot F + \varepsilon_j - \mathsf{E}[a_j + b_j \cdot F])\right] \\ &= & \mathsf{E}\left[(b_i \cdot F + \varepsilon_i - \mathsf{E}\left[b_i \cdot F\right]) \cdot (b_j \cdot F + \varepsilon_j - \mathsf{E}[b_j \cdot F])\right] \\ &= & b_i \cdot b_j \cdot \mathsf{E}\left[(F - \mathsf{E}\left[F\right])^2\right] + \mathsf{E}\left[(\varepsilon_i - \mathsf{E}\left[\varepsilon_i\right]) \cdot (\varepsilon_j - \mathsf{E}\left[\varepsilon_j\right])\right] \\ &= & b_i \cdot b_j \cdot \mathsf{VAR}(F) + \mathsf{COV}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) \end{aligned}$$

Note:

$$E\left[\varepsilon_{j}\right] = 0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

12/33

Return of portfolio

Risk of distinct asset

 $\forall i = j$:

 \Rightarrow

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{COV}(R_i, R_j) &= b_i \cdot b_j \cdot \operatorname{VAR}(F) + \operatorname{COV}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) \\ \operatorname{VAR}(R_i) &= \operatorname{COV}(R_i, R_i) \\ \operatorname{VAR}(\varepsilon_i) &= \operatorname{COV}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i) \\ \end{array} \\ \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{VAR}(R_i) &= b_i^2 \cdot \operatorname{VAR}(F) + \operatorname{VAR}(\varepsilon_i) \end{aligned}$$

Return of distinct assets

Risk of distinct asset				
	$b_i^2 \cdot VAR(F)$	systematic risk (synonym: factor risk)		
+	$VAR(\varepsilon_i)$	idiosyncratic (asset-specific) risk		
=	$VAR(R_i)$	total risk		

Covariance between asset returns

 $\forall i \neq j$:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{COV}(R_i, R_j) = b_i \cdot b_j \cdot \operatorname{VAR}(F) + \operatorname{COV}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) \\ \operatorname{COV}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0 \end{cases}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{COV}(R_i, R_j) = b_i \cdot b_j \cdot \operatorname{VAR}(F) \end{cases}$$

Covariance between asset returns

Covariances are explained entirely by the variance of F and the assets' different sensitivities to F:

$$COV(R_i, R_j) = b_i \cdot b_j \cdot VAR(F)$$

Conclusion: A SFM requires less data than Markowitz' portfolio selection theory.

Section 3

Return of portfolio

<ロ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ = う Q () 16/33

Portfolio return

$$R_P = a_P + b_P \cdot F + \varepsilon_P$$

with

$$a_{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot a_{i}$$
$$b_{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot b_{i}$$
$$\varepsilon_{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{i}$$

Expected value of portfolio return

$$\mathsf{E}[R_P] = a_P + b_P \cdot \mathsf{E}[F]$$

<ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > こ の < C 18/33

Variance of return of a portfolio

 $VAR[R_{P}] = (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{1,2} & \dots & \sigma_{1,n} \\ \sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{2,2} & \dots & \sigma_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n,1} & \sigma_{n,2} & \dots & \sigma_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix}$

<ロ > < 部 > < き > < き > き き き き う い つ () 19/33

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

20 / 33

Return of portfolio

Variance of return of a portfolio

Insert:

$$\sigma_{i,i} = \mathsf{VAR}(R_i) = b_i^2 \cdot \mathsf{VAR}(F) + \mathsf{VAR}(\varepsilon_i)$$
$$\forall i \neq j: \quad \sigma_{i,j} = \mathsf{COV}(R_i, R_j) = b_i \cdot b_j \cdot \mathsf{VAR}(F)$$

Variance of portfolio return

$$VAR(R_P) = b_P^2 \cdot VAR(F) + VAR(\varepsilon_p)$$

with

$$b_P = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot b_i$$

VAR(ε_P) = $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \cdot$ VAR(ε_i)

Variance of portfolio return					
Assumption:	$x_1 = \ldots = x_n = \frac{1}{n}$				
Conclusion:	$\lim_{n\to\infty} (VAR(\varepsilon_P)) = b_P^2 \cdot VAR(F)$				

Interpretation: Sound diversification eliminates specific risk.

Covariance between asset return and factor F

 b_i is the sensitivity of R_i with regard to F:

$$COV(R_i, F) = COV(a_i + b_i \cdot F + \varepsilon_i, F)$$
$$= b_i \cdot VAR(F)$$
$$\Rightarrow b_i = \frac{COV(R_i, F)}{VAR(F)}$$

Section 4

SIM as a special SFM

SIM as a special SFM Model outline

SIM – Basic assumption

$$ER_i = a_i + b_i \cdot ER_M + \varepsilon_i$$

with

- $ER_i = R_i r_0$ random excess return on asset *i*
- $ER_M = R_M r_0$ random excess return on indexed portfolio

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の Q (~ 25 / 33)

SIM as a special SFM

SIM – Basic assumption

Interpretation: The common component of unanticipated movements in individual assets is explained by unanticipated movements in the return on a broad index of securities, such as the S&P500 index.

In formal language:

$$F = R_M - r_0$$

<ロト < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の Q (* 26 / 33

SIM as a special SFM Model outline

Risk premium of distinct asset

$$\mathsf{E}[ER_i] = a_i + b_i \cdot \mathsf{E}[ER_M]$$

with

 $E[ER_i] = E[R_i] - r_0 \qquad \text{risk premium on asset } i$ $E[ER_M] = E[R_M] - r_0 \qquad \text{risk premium on indexed portfolio}$

SIM as a special SFM

Risk of distinct asset

$$\operatorname{VAR}(R_i) = b_i^2 \cdot \operatorname{VAR}(R_M) + \operatorname{VAR}(\varepsilon_i)$$

whereby the variance of returns equals the variance of excess returns:

$$VAR(R_i) = VAR(ER_i)$$

 $VAR(R_M) = VAR(ER_M)$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q () 28 / 33

SIM as a special SFM Model outline

Risk of distinct asset				
	$b_i^2 \cdot \text{VAR}(R_M)$	systematic risk (synonym: factor risk)		
+	$VAR(\varepsilon_i)$	idiosyncratic (asset-specific) risk		
=	$VAR(R_i)$	total risk		

SIM as a special SFM Comparison with CAPM

Estimates needed for			
Markowitz' portfolio selection	Single Index Model		
 riskless interest rate n expected values of returns n variances of returns (n² - n)/2 covariances 	 riskless interest rate expected value of index variance of index a_i of all n assets b_i of all n assets variances of noise of all n assets 		
Total $(n^2 + 3 \cdot n + 2)/2$	Total $3 \cdot n + 3$		

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 のへの 30/33

⇐

SIM as a special SFM Comparison with CAPM

Compatibility of CAPM and SIM: Factor-sensitivity b_i

$$b_{i} = \frac{\text{COV}(RP_{i}, RP_{M})}{\text{VAR}(RP_{M})}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_{i} = \frac{\text{COV}(R_{i}, R_{M})}{\text{VAR}(R_{M})}$$

SIM as a special SFM Comparison with CAPM

Compatibility of CAPM and SIM: Constant a_i

Risk premium of distinct asset according to SIM:

$$E[ER_i] = a_i + b_i \cdot E[ER_M] \qquad |a_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad E[R_i] = r_0 + b_i \cdot (E[R_M] - r_0)$$

Conclusion: If $a_i = r_0$, the CAPM and the SFM yield the same result.

SIM as a special SFM Comparison with CAPM

SIM – Interpretation of $a_i = 0$

- Interpretation 1: There are no factors outside the model (i.e. factors other than $E[ER_M]$) determining $E[ER_i]$.
- Interpretation 2: There are no opportunities for arbitrage, which is a weaker condition than the market equilibrium assumed by the CAPM (see next lecture on APT).